# ON PRESENTATIONS OF INTEGER POLYNOMIAL POINTS OF SIMPLE GROUPS OVER NUMBER FIELDS

#### AMIR MOHAMMADI & KEVIN WORTMAN

In this paper we prove the following

**Theorem 1.** Let K be a number field and let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be its ring of integers. Let G be a connected, noncommutative, absolutely almost simple algebraic K-group. If the K-rank of G equals 2, then  $G(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  is not finitely presented.

Actually, we will prove a slightly stronger version of Theorem 1 by showing that if  $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  is as in Theorem 1, then  $\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  is not of type  $FP_2$ .

0.1. **Related results.** Krstić-McCool proved that  $GL_3(A)$  is not finitely presented if there is an epimorphism from A to F[t] for some field F[K-M].

Suslin proved that  $\operatorname{SL}_n(A[t_1,\ldots,t_k])$  is generated by elemetary matrices if  $n \geq 3$ , A is a regular ring, and  $K_1(A) \cong A^{\times}$  [Su]. Grunewald-Mennicke-Vaserstein proved that  $\operatorname{Sp}_{2n}(A[t_1,\ldots,t_k])$  is generated by elementary matrices if  $n \geq 2$  and A is a Euclidean ring or a local principal ideal ring [G-M-V].

In Bux-Mohammadi-Wortman, it's shown that  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[t])$  is not of type  $FP_{n-1}$  [B-M-W]. The case when n=3 is a special case of Theorem 1.

While most of the results listed above allow for more general rings than  $\mathcal{O}_K[t]$ , the result of this paper, and the techniques used to prove it, are distinguished by their applicability to a class of semisimple groups that extends beyond special linear and symplectic groups.

## 1. Preliminary and notation

Throughout the remainder, we let **G** be as in Theorem 1 and we let  $\Gamma = \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$ .

The writing of this paper was supported in part by NSF Grant No. DMS-0905891.

Let L be an algebraically closed field containing  $K((t^{-1}))$  fixed once and for all. In the sequel the Zarsiki topology is defined with this fixed algebraically closed field in mind.

Let **S** be a maximal K-split torus of **G**. Let  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  be a set of simple K-roots for  $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{S})$ , and define  $\mathbf{T} = (\ker(\alpha))^{\circ}$ , the connected component containing the identity.

Let **P** be a maximal K-parabolic subgroup of **G** that has  $Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})$  as a Levi subgroup where  $Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})$  denotes the centralizer of **T** in **G**. Let **U** be unipotent radical of **P**. We have  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{U}Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})$ . We can further write

## P = UHMT

where  $\mathbf{H} \leq Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})$  is a simple K-group of K-rank 1 and  $\mathbf{M}$  is a K-anisotropic torus contained in the center of  $Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})$ .

If  $x \in K((t^{-1}))$  is algebraic over K then  $x \in K$ , hence  $\mathbf{G}$  has  $K((t^{-1}))$ -rank 2 as well and  $\mathbf{P}$  is a  $K((t^{-1}))$ -maximal parabolic of  $\mathbf{G}$ . It also follows that  $\mathbf{H}$  has  $K((t^{-1}))$ -rank 1 and that  $\mathbf{M}$  is  $K((t^{-1}))$ -anisotropic.

We let G, S, P, U, M, H and T denote the  $K((t^{-1}))$ -points of G, S, P, U, M, H, and T, respectively.

Let X denote the Bruhat-Tits building associated to G. This is a 2-dimensional simplicial complex, and the apartments (maximal flats) correspond to maximal  $K((t^{-1}))$ -split tori.

We fix once and for all a K-embedding of  $\mathbf{G}$  in some  $\mathbf{SL}_n$ . Using this embedding we realize  $\mathbf{G}(K[t])$  and  $\Gamma$  as subgroups of  $\mathbf{SL}(K[t])$  and  $\mathbf{SL}(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  respectively. This embedding also gives an isometric embedding of X into  $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ , the building of  $\mathbf{SL}_n(K((t^{-1})))$ ; see [La].

### 2. Stabilizers of the $\Gamma$ -action on its Euclidean building

**Lemma 2.** If X is the Euclidean building for G, then the  $\Gamma$  stabilizers of cells in X are  $FP_m$  for all m.

*Proof.* We first recall the proof of [B-M-W, Lemma 2]. Let  $x_0 \in \tilde{A}_{n-1}$  be the vertex stabilized by  $\mathbf{SL}_n(K[[t^{-1}]])$ . We denote a diagonal matrix in  $\mathbf{GL}_n(K((t^{-1})))$  with entries  $s_1, s_2, ..., s_n \in K((t^{-1}))^{\times}$  by  $D(s_1, s_2, ..., s_n)$ , and we let  $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \tilde{A}_{n-1}$  be the sector based at  $x_0$  and containing vertices of the form  $D(t^{m_1}, t^{m_2}, ..., t^{m_n})x_0$  where each  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq ... \geq m_n$ .

The sector  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a fundamental domain for the action of  $\mathbf{SL_n}(K[t])$  on  $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$  (see [So]). In particular, for any vertex  $z \in \tilde{A}_{n-1}$ , there is some  $h'_z \in \mathbf{SL_n}(K[t])$  and some integers  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq ... \geq m_n$  with  $z = h'_z D_z(t^{m_1}, t^{m_2}, ..., t^{m_n}) x_0$ . We let  $h_z = h'_z D_z(t^{m_1}, t^{m_2}, ..., t^{m_n})$ .

For any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $W_N$  be the (N+1)-dimensional vector space

$$W_N = \{ p(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t] \mid \deg(p(t)) \le N \}$$

which is endowed with the obvious K-structure. If  $N_1, \dots, N_{n^2}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  are arbitrary then let

$$\mathbf{G}_{\{N_1,\dots,N_{n^2}\}} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \prod_{i=1}^{n^2} W_{N_i} | \det(\mathbf{x}) = 1\}$$

where  $\det(\mathbf{x})$  is a polynomial in the coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$ . To be more precise this is obtained from the usual determinant function when one considers the usual  $n \times n$  matrix presentation of  $\mathbf{x}$ , and calculates the determinant in  $\mathbf{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}[t])$ .

For our choice of vertex  $z \in \tilde{A}_{n-1}$  above, the stabilizer of z in  $\mathbf{SL}_n(K((t^{-1})))$  equals  $h_z\mathbf{SL}_n(K[[t^{-1}]])h_z^{-1}$ . And with our fixed choice of  $h_z$ , there clearly exist some  $N_i^z \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the stabilizer of the vertex z in  $\mathbf{SL}_n(K[t])$  is  $\mathbf{G}_{\{N_1^z,\dots,N_{n^2}^z\}}(K)$ . Furthermore, conditions on  $N_i^z$  force a group structure on  $\mathbf{G}_z = \mathbf{G}_{\{N_1^z,\dots,N_{n^2}^z\}}$ . Therefore, the stabilizer of z in  $\mathbf{SL}_n(K[t])$  is the K-points of the affine K-group  $\mathbf{G}_z$ , and the stabilizer of z in  $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  is  $\mathbf{G}_z(\mathcal{O}_K)$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be a cell in  $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ . The action of  $\mathbf{SL}_n(K[t])$  on  $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$  is type preserving, so if  $\sigma \subset \mathfrak{S}$  is a simplex with vertices  $z_1, z_2, ..., z_m$ , then the stabilizer of  $\sigma$  in  $\mathbf{SL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  is

$$(\mathbf{G}_{z_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathbf{G}_{z_m})(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

Which implies that the stabilizer of  $\sigma$  in  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathbf{G}_{\sigma}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  where  $\mathbf{G}_{\sigma} = \mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{G}_{z_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathbf{G}_{z_m}$ .

If  $\psi \subset X$  is a cell, then we let  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k$  be simplices of  $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$  such that their union contains  $\psi$ , and such that their union is contained in the union of any other set of simplices of  $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$  that contains  $\psi$ .

The group  $\Gamma$  may not act on X type-preservingly, but the stabilizer of  $\psi$  in  $\Gamma$  will contain a finite index subgroup that fixes  $\psi$  pointwise. Because  $\Gamma$  does act type-preservingly on  $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ , we have that the stabilizer of  $\psi$  in  $\Gamma$  contains

$$(\mathbf{G}_{\sigma_1}\cap\cdots\cap\mathbf{G}_{\sigma_k})(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

as a finite index subgroup. This is an arithmetic group, and Borel-Serre [B-S] proved that any such group is  $FP_m$  for all m.

# 3. An unbounded ray in $\Gamma \setminus X$

The group  $\Gamma$  does not act cocompactly on X. Our next lemma is a generalization of Mahler's compactness criterion, and it will help us

identify a ray in X whose projection to  $\Gamma \setminus X$  is proper. Our proof is similar to [B-M-W, Lemma 11].

**Lemma 3.** If  $e \in X$ ,  $a \in G$ ,  $u \in \Gamma$  is nontrivial, and  $a^{-n}ua^n \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ , then  $\{\Gamma a^n e : n \ge 0\} \subset \Gamma \setminus X$  is unbounded.

*Proof.* Since G acts on X with bounded point stabilizers, it suffices to show that  $\{\Gamma a^n : n \geq 0\} \subset \Gamma \setminus G$  is unbounded.

If  $\{\Gamma a^n : n \geq 0\}$  is bounded, then it is contained in a set  $\Gamma B$  where  $B \subset G$  is a bounded set. Thus, for any  $a^n$ , we have  $a^n = \gamma b$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $b \in B$ . Hence  $a^{-n}ua^n = b^{-1}\gamma^{-1}u\gamma b$ .

Because u is nontrivial,  $\gamma^{-1}u\gamma \in \Gamma - 1$  is bounded away from 1, and thus  $b^{-1}\gamma^{-1}u\gamma b$  is bounded away from 1. That's a contradiction.  $\square$ 

# 4. An unbounded semisimple element in $\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$

Recall that  $\mathbf{H}$  has  $K((t^{-1}))$ -rank 1 (and K-rank 1), hence the Bruhat-Tits building of H, which will be denoted by  $X_H$ , is a tree. Let  $\mathbf{S}'$  be a maximal K-split, thus  $K((t^{-1}))$ -split, torus of  $\mathbf{H}$  and let  $\mathbf{Q}^+$  and  $\mathbf{Q}^-$  be opposite K-parabolic subgroups of  $\mathbf{H}$  with Levi subgroup  $Z_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{S}')$ .

We denote the unpotent radical of  $\mathbf{Q}^{\pm}$  as  $R_u(\mathbf{Q}^{\pm})$ , and we let  $Q^{\pm} = \mathbf{Q}^{\pm}(K((t^{-1})))$ ,  $R_u(Q^{\pm}) = R_u(\mathbf{Q}^{\pm})(K((t^{-1})))$ , and  $S' = \mathbf{S}'(K((t^{-1})))$ . See [Se, Proposition 25] for the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $u^+ \in R_u(Q^+)$  and  $u^- \in R_u(Q^-)$  and let  $F^{\pm} = \operatorname{Fix}_{X_H}(u^{\pm})$ . Assume that  $F^+ \cap F^- = \emptyset$ . Then  $u^+u^-$  is a hyperbolic isometry of  $X_H$ .

*Proof.* Let x be the midpoint between  $F^+$  and  $F^-$ . Let  $p_1$  be the path between x and  $F^+$  and let  $p_2$  be the path between x and  $F^-$ , and let  $\psi$  be an edge containing x, contained in  $p_1 \cup p_2$ , not contained in  $p_2$ , and oriented towards  $F^+$ .

Notice that  $u^-p_2 \cup p_2$  is an embedded path between x and  $u^-x$  and that  $p_1 \cup u^+p_1 \cup u^+p_2 \cup u^+u^-p_2$  is an embedded path between x and  $u^+u^-x$ . The edge  $u^+u^-\psi$  is a continuation of the latter path that is oriented away from from both  $u^+u^-x$  and x.

If  $u^+u^-$  is elliptic, then it fixes the midpoint of the path between x and  $u^+u^-x$  and maps  $\psi$  to an oriented edge pointed towards x. Therefore,  $u^+u^-$  is hyperbolic.

**Lemma 5.** There exists elements  $u^{\pm} \in R_u(\mathbf{Q}^{\pm})(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  of arbitrarily large norm.

*Proof.* After perhaps replacing  $\alpha$  with  $2\alpha$ , there is a root group  $\mathbf{U}_{\alpha} \leq R_u(\mathbf{Q}^{\pm})$  and a K-isomorphism of algebraic groups  $f: \mathbb{A}^k \to \mathbf{U}_{\alpha}$  for some affine space  $\mathbb{A}^k$ .

The regular function f is defined by polynomials  $f_i \in K[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$ . Because f maps the identity element to the identity element, each  $f_i$  has a constant term of 0.

The field of fractions of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is K. We let N be the product of the denominators of the coefficients of the  $f_i$ . Then the image under f of the points  $(Nt^j, \ldots, Nt^j)$  forms an unbounded sequence in j of points in  $\mathbf{U}_{\alpha}(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$ .

**Lemma 6.** There exists a hyberbolic isometry  $b \in \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  of the tree  $X_H$ .

Proof. Let  $\ell' \subseteq X_H$  be the geodesic corresponding to S', and choose  $u^{\pm} \in R_u(\mathbf{Q}^{\pm})(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  of sufficient norm such that  $\ell' \cap F^+$  is disjoint from  $\ell' \cap F^-$ . Since  $F^+$  and  $F^-$  are convex, and  $\ell' - (F^+ \cup F^-)$  is the geodesic between them, it follows that  $F^+ \cap F^- = \emptyset$ . Now apply Lemma 4.

## 5. Construction of cycles in X near $\Gamma$

Let  $b \in \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  be as in Lemma 6, and let  $\mathbf{S}''$  be the  $K((t^{-1}))$ -split one dimensional torus corresponding to the axis of b in  $X_H$ . Define the  $K((t^{-1}))$ -split torus  $\mathbf{A} = \langle \mathbf{S}'', \mathbf{T} \rangle \leq \mathbf{P}$  and let  $A = \mathbf{A}(K((t^{-1})))$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the apartment in X corresponding to A.

Recall that any unbounded element  $a \in T$  translates  $\mathcal{A}$ , and that the axis for the translation is any geodesic in  $\mathcal{A}$  that joins P with its opposite parabolic  $P^{op}$ , as usual  $P^{op} = \mathbf{P}^{op}(K((t^{-1})))$  where  $\mathbf{P}^{op}$  is the oppositie parabolic containing  $Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})$ .

Note that b acts by translation on  $\mathcal{A}$ . In fact, b translates orthogonal to any geodesic in  $\mathcal{A}$  that joins P with  $P^{op}$ . Indeed, choose an element w of the Weyl group with respect to  $\mathbf{A}$  that reflects through a geodesic joining  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{P}^{op}$ . Thus w fixes both parabolic groups, and their common Levi subgroup, and hence  $\mathbf{H}$ . Since  $\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{H}$ , w fixes  $\mathbf{S}'$  and thus fixes any axis for b in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, either b translates orthogonal to any geodesic in  $\mathcal{A}$  that joins P with  $P^{op}$ , or else b translates along a geodesic in  $\mathcal{A}$  that joins P with  $P^{op}$ . The latter option would contradict Lemma 3 since for any  $e \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\Gamma b^n e = \Gamma e \in \Gamma \setminus X$  and yet there is an unbounded  $a \in T$  such that the ray determined by  $a^n e$  is parallel to the ray determined by  $b^n e$  and yet  $a^{-n}ua^n \to 1$  either for any  $u \in \mathbf{U}(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  or for any u in the  $\mathcal{O}_K[t]$ -points of the unipotent radical of  $\mathbf{P}^{op}$ .

The spherical Tits building for G and X is a graph, and the apartment  $\mathcal{A}$  corresponds to a circle in the spherical Tits building. Suppose

this circle has vertices  $P_1, ..., P_n$  and edges  $Q_1, ..., Q_n$  where each  $\mathbf{P}_i$  is a maximal proper  $K((t^{-1}))$ -parabolic subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  containing  $\mathbf{A}$ , each  $\mathbf{Q}_i$  is a minimal  $K((t^{-1}))$ -parabolic subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  containing  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $\mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{P}$ . We further assume that mod n, the edge  $Q_i$  has vertices  $P_i$  and  $P_{i+1}$ .

Notice that  $\mathbf{U} \leq \mathbf{Q}_1 \cap \mathbf{Q}_n$  since  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_1$  contains both  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_n$ . That is, any element of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  fixes the edges  $Q_1$  and  $Q_n$ .

Let  $\mathbf{U}_1$  be the root group corresponding to the half circle that contains  $Q_1$  but not  $Q_2$ , so that  $\mathbf{U}_1 \leq \mathbf{U}$  but  $\mathbf{U}_1 \cap \mathbf{Q}_2 = 1$ . Let  $\mathbf{U}_n$  be the root group corresponding to the half circle that contains  $Q_n$  but not  $Q_{n-1}$ , so that  $\mathbf{U}_n \leq \mathbf{U}$  but  $\mathbf{U}_n \cap \mathbf{Q}_{n-1} = 1$ .

It follows that  $\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{Q}_i$  has codimension in  $\mathbf{U}$  at least 1 for i = 2, n-1. Since  $\mathbf{U}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathbf{U}$ , there is some  $u \in \mathbf{U}(\mathcal{O}_K) - (\mathbf{Q}_2 \cup \mathbf{Q}_{n-1})$ . It follows that u fixes the edges  $Q_n$  and  $Q_1$ , but no other edges in the circle corresponding to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Since u is a bounded element of G, it fixes a point in X. Therefore, u fixes a geodesic ray in X that limits to an interior point of the edge corresponding to  $Q_1$  in the spherical building. Any such geodesic ray must contain a point in A, which is to say that u fixes a point in A.

Define a height function  $q: \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that the pre-image of any point is an axis of translation for b, such that  $s \leq t$  if and only if any geodesic ray in  $\mathcal{A}$  that eminates from  $q^{-1}(s)$  and limits to P contains a point from  $q^{-1}(t)$ .

Let  $F = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid ux = x\}$ , let  $I = \inf_{f \in F} \{q(f)\}$ , and let  $E = \{f \in F \mid q(f) = I\}$ . Since the fixed set of u in the circle at infinity of  $\mathcal{A}$  equals the union of the two edges  $Q_1$  and  $Q_n$ , and since F is convex, I exists and E is either a point of, a subray of, a line segment of, or an entire axis of translation for b.

Notice that E is bounded, otherwise u would fix the point at infinity that a subray of E limited to. This point at infinity would have distance  $\pi/2$  from the vertex P in the spherical metric, but this is not possible as the previously identified fixed set of u in the boundary circle is centered at P and has radius at most  $\pi/3$ . (The bound  $\pi/3$  is realized exactly when the root system for G is of type  $A_2$ .) Thus E is either a point or a compact interval.

Since the fix set of u in the boundary circle is exactly the union of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_n$ , and since F is convex, F is precisely the union of all geodesic rays eminating from points in E and limiting to points in the arc  $Q_1 \cup Q_n$ . That is F is a polyhedral region in A that is symmetric with respect to a reflection of A through a geodesic that limits to P

and the opposite point of P. If E is a point, then F has two geodesic rays as its boundary: one ray that limits to  $P_2$ , and the other that limits to  $P_n$ . If E is a nontrivial interval, then the boundary of F is the union of E, a ray from an endpoint of E that limits to  $P_2$ , and a ray from the other endpoint of E that limits to  $P_n$ .

If E is an interval, we label its endpoints  $e^+$  and  $e^-$  such that E is both oriented in the direction of translation of b, and in the direction towards  $e^+$ , and away from  $e^-$ . Let  $e_0$  be the midpoint of E. If E is a point, then  $e_0 = e^+ = e^-$  is that point.

For  $n_0$  sufficiently large and for any  $n \geq n_0$ , we define  $\sigma_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  as the geodesic segment between  $b^{-n}e^+$  and  $b^ne^-$ . Notice that  $b^{-n}e^+$  is the only point in  $\sigma_n$  that is fixed by  $g_n = b^{-n}ub^n$ , and that  $b^ne^-$  is the only point in  $\sigma_n$  that is fixed by  $h_n = b^nub^{-n}$ .

Recall that  $\mathcal{A}$  is the apartment corresponding to A and  $\mathbf{T} \subset \mathbf{A}$  is a K-split one dimensional torus of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Recall also that  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{U}Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{T})$ . Let  $a \in T$  be such that  $a^{-n}ua^n \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$  so that  $a^ne_0$  converges to the cell at infinity corresponding to P as  $n \to \infty$ .

Let  $\Delta_n$  be the triangle with one face equal to  $\sigma_n$ , a second face contained in the boundary of  $b^{-n} \operatorname{Fix}_{\mathcal{A}}(u) = \operatorname{Fix}_{\mathcal{A}}(g_n)$ , a third face contained in the boundary of  $b^n \operatorname{Fix}_{\mathcal{A}}(u) = \operatorname{Fix}_{\mathcal{A}}(h_n)$ , and vertices  $b^n e^-$ ,  $b^{-n} e^+$ , and a uniquely determined point  $y_n \in \partial \operatorname{Fix}_{\mathcal{A}}(g_n) \cap \partial \operatorname{Fix}_{\mathcal{A}}(h_n)$ . Thus  $y_n$  converges to the cell at infinity corresponding to P as  $n \to \infty$ . Note that

- (1) **U** is a unipotent group so  $[[[g_n, h_n], \cdots], h_n], h_n] = 1$  for some fixed number of nested commutators that's independent of n.
- (2) If w is a word in  $\{g_n, h_n, g_n^{-1}, h_n^{-1}\}$  and  $d \in \{g_n, h_n, g_n^{-1}, h_n^{-1}\}$ , then  $w\sigma_n$  and  $wd\sigma_n$  are incident.
- (1) and (2) imply that the word  $[[[[g_n, h_n], \cdots], h_n], h_n]$  (or possibly a subword) describes a 1-cycle that is the union of translates of  $\sigma_n$  by subwords of  $[[[[g_n, h_n], \cdots], h_n], h_n]$ . We name this 1-cycle  $c_n$ .

The cone of  $c_n$  at the point  $y_n$  is the topological image of a 2-disk  $\phi_n: D^2 \to X$  such that  $\phi_n(\partial D^2) = c_n$ .

If we let

$$X_0 = \Gamma \sigma_{n_0}$$

then clearly  $c_n \in X_0$  for all n since  $b, g_n, h_n \in \Gamma$  and  $\sigma_n \subseteq \langle b \rangle \sigma_{n_0}$ .

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1

We choose a  $\Gamma$ -invariant and cocompact space  $X_i \subseteq X$  to satisfy the inclusions

$$X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i = X$$

In our present context, Brown's criterion takes on the following form [Br]

**Brown's Filtration Criterion 7.** By Lemma 2, the group  $\Gamma$  is not of type  $FP_2$  (and hence not finitely presented) if for any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists some class in the homology group  $\widetilde{H}_1(X_0, \mathbb{Z})$  which is nonzero in  $\widetilde{H}_1(X_i, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Since  $\Gamma \backslash X_i$  is compact it follows from Lemma 3 that for any i there there exists some  $j_i$  such that  $a^{j_i}e_0 \notin X_i$ . Choose n sufficiently large so that  $a^{j_i}e_0 \in \Delta_n \subseteq \phi_n$ . Recall that  $c_n \subseteq X_0$ . Since X is contractible and 2-dimensional, any filling disk for  $c_n$  must contain  $a^{j_i}e_0$ . That is,  $c_n$  represents a nontrivial class in the homology of  $X - \{a^{j_i}e_0\}$ , and hence is nontrivial in the homology of  $X_i$ .

#### 7. Other ranks

The proof of Proposition 4.1 in [B-W] gives a short proof that  $\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z}[t])$  is not finitely generated by examining the action of  $\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z}[t])$  on the tree for  $\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Q}((t^{-1})))$ . Replacing some of the remarks for  $\mathbf{SL_2}(\mathbb{Z}[t])$  in that paper with straightforward analogues from lemmas in this paper, it is easy to see that the proof in [B-W] applies to show that if  $\mathbf{H}$  is a connected, noncommutative, absolutely almost simple algebraic K-group of K-rank 1, then  $\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  is not finitely generated.

It seems natural to state the following

Conjecture 1. Suppose **H** is a connected, noncommutative, absolutely almost simple algebraic K-group whose K-rank equals k. Then  $\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{O}_K[t])$  is not of type  $F_k$  or  $FP_k$ .

The conjecture has been verified when  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{SL_n}$  [B-M-W].

## References

- [B-S] A. Borel, J-P. Serre, Corners and arithmetic groups. Comm. Math. Helv. 48 (1973) p. 436 491.
- [Br] Brown, K., Finiteness properties of groups. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 44 (1987), 45-75.
- [B-M-W] Bux, K.-U., Mohammadi, A., Wortman, K.  $\mathbf{SL_n}(\mathbb{Z}[t])$  is not  $FP_{n-1}$ . Comm. Math. Helv. **85** (2010), 151-164.
- [B-W] Bux, K.-U., and Wortman, K., Geometric proof that  $\mathbf{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$  is not finitely presented. Algebr. Geom. Topol. 6 (2006), 839-852.
- [G-M-V] Grunewald, F., Mennicke, J., and Vaserstein, L., On symplectic groups over polynomial rings. Math. Z. 206 (1991), 35-56.
- [K-M] Krstić, S., and McCool, J., Presenting  $GL_n(k\langle T\rangle)$ . J. Pure Appl. Algebra **141** (1999), 175-183.

- [La] Landvogt, E., Some functorial properties of the Bruhat-Tits building. J. Reine Angew. Math. **518** (2000) p.213-241.
- [Se] Serre, J.-P., Trees. Translated from the French original by John Stillwell. Corrected 2nd printing of the 1980 English translation. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [So] Soulé, C., Chevalley groups over polynomial rings. Homological Group Theory, LMS **36** (1977), 359-367.
- [Su] Suslin, A. A., The structure of the special linear group over rings of polynomials. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 41 (1977), 235-252.